

ON GRAPH ASSOCIATIONS*

LANDON RABERN†

Abstract. We introduce a notion of vertex association and consider sequences of these associations. This allows for slick proofs of a few known theorems as well as showing that for any induced subgraph H of G , $\chi(G) \leq \chi(H) + \frac{1}{2}(\omega(G) + |G| - |H| - 1)$. As a special case of this, we have $\chi(G) \leq \lceil \frac{\omega(G) + \tau(G)}{2} \rceil$ (here $\chi(G)$ denotes the chromatic number, $\omega(G)$ the clique number, and $\tau(G)$ the vertex cover number), which is a generalization of the Nordhaus–Gaddum upper bound. In addition, this settles a conjecture of Reed that $\chi(G) \leq \lceil \frac{\omega(G) + \Delta(G) + 1}{2} \rceil$ in the case when $\delta(\overline{G}) \leq \omega(\overline{G})$.

Key words. graph coloring, Reed’s conjecture

AMS subject classifications. 05C15, 05C69

DOI. 10.1137/050626545

1. Definitions and basic properties. All graphs will be assumed finite and simple. We let $|G|$ denote the order of G , $s(G)$ the size of G , $\chi(G)$ the chromatic number, $\omega(G)$ the clique number, $\tau(G)$ the vertex cover number, $\Delta(G)$ the maximum degree, $\delta(G)$ the minimum degree, $d_G(x)$ the degree of x in G , and $N_G(x)$ the set of neighbors of x in G .

DEFINITION 1.1. *Given a graph G and nonadjacent vertices a and b , we write $G/[a, b]$ for the graph obtained from G by associating (i.e., identifying) a and b into a single vertex $[a, b]$ and discarding multiple edges.*

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Let G be a graph and $a, b, x \in V(G)$ with $a \notin N_G(b)$. Then*

$$d_{G/[a,b]}(x) = \begin{cases} d_G(x) - 1 & \text{if } x \in N_G(a) \cap N_G(b), \\ d_G(a) + d_G(b) - |N_G(a) \cap N_G(b)| & \text{if } x \in \{a, b\}, \\ d_G(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is immediate from the definitions. □

The content of the following proposition is that the operations of vertex removal and association commute.

PROPOSITION 1.3. *Let G be a graph. If $a, b \in V(G)$ with $a \notin N_G(b)$ and $S \subseteq V(G) \setminus \{a, b\}$, then*

$$(G \setminus S)/[a, b] = G/[a, b] \setminus S.$$

Proof. Again, this is immediate from the definitions. □

LEMMA 1.4. *Let a and b be nonadjacent vertices in a graph G . Then*

- (i) $\chi(G) \leq \chi(G/[a, b]) \leq \chi(G) + 1$, and
- (ii) $\chi(G/[a, b]) = \chi(G)$ if and only if there exists a coloring of G with $\chi(G)$ colors in which a and b receive the same color.

Proof. (i) Since a and b are nonadjacent, any k -coloring of $G/[a, b]$ lifts to a k -coloring of G . This gives the first inequality. The second follows by noting that any k -coloring of G induces a k -coloring of $G/[a, b] \setminus \{[a, b]\}$ and hence a $(k + 1)$ -coloring of $G/[a, b]$ by introducing a new color.

*Received by the editors March 10, 2005; accepted for publication (in revised form) January 25, 2006; published electronically June 21, 2006.

<http://www.siam.org/journals/sidma/20-2/62654.html>

†Department of Mathematics, UC Santa Barbara, Goleta, CA 93117 (landonr@math.ucsb.edu).

(ii) Assume $\chi(G/[a, b]) = \chi(G)$. Then we have a $\chi(G)$ -coloring of $G/[a, b]$ and lifting this to G gives a $\chi(G)$ -coloring of G in which a and b receive the same color.

For the converse, assume we have a $\chi(G)$ -coloring of G in which a and b receive the same color. Then the induced $\chi(G)$ -coloring of $G/[a, b] \setminus \{[a, b]\}$ extends to a $\chi(G)$ -coloring of $G/[a, b]$ by coloring $[a, b]$ the color that a and b share. \square

PROPOSITION 1.5. *Let a and b be nonadjacent vertices in a graph G . Then*

$$\chi(G) = \min\{\chi(G/[a, b]), \chi(G + ab)\}.$$

Proof. If $\chi(G) = \chi(G/[a, b])$, then we are done since $\chi(G + ab) \geq \chi(G)$. Otherwise, by Lemma 1.4(ii), a and b must receive different colors in every $\chi(G)$ -coloring of G . Hence, any $\chi(G)$ -coloring of G extends to a $\chi(G)$ -coloring of $G + ab$. Thus $\chi(G) = \chi(G + ab)$, completing the proof. \square

2. Sequences of associations. We consider sequences of the form

$$G = H_0 \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_r = K_t,$$

where each term is obtained from the previous one by associating two nonadjacent vertices. The process clearly terminates at some complete graph K_t .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let G be a graph. If G is not complete, then there exist nonadjacent vertices a and b which receive the same color in some $\chi(G)$ -coloring of G .*

Proof. If not, then any given vertex must be colored differently from every other vertex in any $\chi(G)$ -coloring of G . Hence, $\chi(G) = |G|$ and thus G is complete. \square

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The smallest t for which there exists a sequence*

$$G = H_0 \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_r = K_t$$

is $t = \chi(G)$.

Proof. The first inequality of Lemma 1.4(i) and the fact that $\chi(K_t) = t$ yield $t \geq \chi(G)$. We just need to show that $K_{\chi(G)}$ can be attained. If G is complete, then we are done. Otherwise, by Lemma 2.1, we have two vertices a and b which receive the same color in some $\chi(G)$ -coloring of G . By Lemma 1.4(ii), $\chi(G/[a, b]) = \chi(G)$. Since $|G/[a, b]| < |G|$, the result follows by induction. \square

DEFINITION 2.3. *We denote by $\psi(G)$ the largest t for which there exists a sequence*

$$G = H_0 \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_r = K_t.$$

With a little thought, one can see that this is the same thing as the achromatic number of G .

Loose upper bounds on $\psi(G)$ can be easily obtained.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let G be a graph. Then*

- (i) $\psi(G) \leq |G|$, and
- (ii) $\psi(G) \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 8s(G)}}{2}$.

Proof. Consider the sequence

$$G = H_0 \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_r = K_{\psi(G)}.$$

As we move from left to right, both the order and the size of the graphs do not increase; hence, $|G| \geq \psi(G)$ and $s(G) \geq \binom{\psi(G)}{2}$. The results follow. \square

3. Some slick proofs.

LEMMA 3.1. *If a and b are nonadjacent vertices in a graph G , then*

$$\chi(\overline{G}) - 1 \leq \chi(\overline{G/[a, b]}) \leq \chi(\overline{G}).$$

Proof. Note that the chromatic number of \overline{G} is the clique cover number of G . Assume we have a partition of $V(G)$ into n disjoint sets $\{K_1, \dots, K_n\}$, each of which induces a clique. Since a and b are nonadjacent, they are in distinct cliques, say, $a \in K_i, b \in K_j$ with $i \neq j$. We see that replacing K_i with $K_i \setminus \{a\}$ and K_j with $(K_j \setminus \{b\}) \cup \{[a, b]\}$ yields a covering of $G/[a, b]$ with n cliques. This gives the second inequality. To get the first, assume we have a partition of $V(G/[a, b])$ into n disjoint sets $\{K_1, \dots, K_n\}$, each of which induces a clique. Then $[a, b]$ is in one of the sets, say, $[a, b] \in K_i$. Let $K'_i = ((K_i \setminus \{[a, b]\}) \cap N_G(a)) \cup \{a\}$ and $K'_{n+1} = ((K_i \setminus \{[a, b]\}) \setminus K'_i) \cup \{b\}$. Then $\{K_1, \dots, K_{i-1}, K'_i, K_{i+1}, \dots, K_n, K'_{n+1}\}$ is a partition of $V(G)$ into $n + 1$ disjoint sets, each of which induces a clique. \square

PROPOSITION 3.2 (see Harary and Hedetniemi [2]). *Let G be a graph. Then*

$$\psi(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) \leq |G| + 1.$$

Proof. Consider the sequence

$$(1) \quad G = H_0 \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_r = K_{\psi(G)},$$

where $r = |G| - \psi(G)$. It follows from the first inequality of Lemma 3.1 that

$$\chi(\overline{G}) - (|G| - \psi(G)) = \chi(\overline{G}) - r \leq \chi(\overline{K_{\psi(G)}}) = 1,$$

so that $\psi(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) \leq |G| + 1$ as required. \square

COROLLARY 3.3 (see Nordhaus and Gaddum [3]). *Let G be a graph. Then*

$$\chi(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) \leq |G| + 1.$$

Proof. Use $\chi(G) \leq \psi(G)$ in Proposition 3.2. \square

LEMMA 3.4. *Let G be a graph. Then*

$$\chi(G) \geq 2\psi(G) - |G|.$$

Proof. It follows from (1) and the second inequality of Lemma 1.4(i) that

$$\psi(G) = \chi(K_{\psi(G)}) \leq \chi(G) + r = \chi(G) + |G| - \psi(G).$$

The result follows. \square

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let G be a graph. Then*

$$2\psi(G) + \psi(\overline{G}) \leq 2|G| + 1.$$

Proof. Lemma 3.4 applied to \overline{G} yields $\chi(\overline{G}) \geq 2\psi(\overline{G}) - |G|$. Substituting this into Proposition 3.2 gives $2\psi(\overline{G}) + \psi(G) \leq 2|G| + 1$. Now substituting \overline{G} for G gives the result. \square

COROLLARY 3.6 (see Gupta [1]). *Let G be a graph. Then*

$$\psi(G) + \psi(\overline{G}) \leq \left\lceil \frac{4}{3}|G| \right\rceil.$$

Proof. Applying Proposition 3.5 to G and \overline{G} yields the inequalities

$$2\psi(G) + \psi(\overline{G}) \leq 2|G| + 1$$

and

$$\psi(G) + 2\psi(\overline{G}) \leq 2|G| + 1,$$

respectively. By adding these, we get

$$3(\psi(G) + \psi(\overline{G})) \leq 4|G| + 2,$$

which is

$$\psi(G) + \psi(\overline{G}) \leq \frac{4}{3}|G| + \frac{2}{3}.$$

The result follows. \square

4. The main results.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let G be a graph and I an independent set in G . We denote by $G/[I]$ the graph obtained from G by associating I down to a single vertex $[I]$.

LEMMA 4.2. Let f be a real-valued graph function such that, for any graph G , $f(G \setminus \{v\}) \geq f(G) - 1$ for all $v \in V(G)$. Then, for any graph G and independent set I in G ,

$$f(G/[I]) \leq f(G \setminus I) + 1.$$

Proof. Observe that $G \setminus I = G/[I] \setminus \{[I]\}$. But $[I]$ is a single vertex; hence, $f(G \setminus I) = f(G/[I] \setminus \{[I]\}) \geq f(G/[I]) - 1$. The result follows. \square

DEFINITION 4.3. We say that a graph G consists of an independent set attached to a clique if $V(G)$ can be partitioned into two disjoint sets I and K such that I is independent and K induces a clique. We say that G consists of an independent set strongly attached to a clique if there is such a partition in which each vertex of K is adjacent to at least one vertex of I .

LEMMA 4.4.

- (a) If a graph G consists of an independent set I attached to a clique K , then \overline{G} consists of an independent set \overline{K} attached to a clique \overline{I} , and $\chi(G) = \omega(G) = |K|$ or $|K| + 1$ and $\chi(\overline{G}) = \omega(\overline{G}) = \alpha(G) = |I|$ or $|I| + 1$.
- (b) If G consists of an independent set I strongly attached to a clique K , then $\chi(\overline{G}) = \omega(\overline{G}) = \alpha(G) = |I|$.
- (c) If I is an independent set in a graph G , then $G/[I]$ is complete if and only if G consists of I strongly attached to a clique.

Proof. (a) Since I is independent, $\chi(G) \leq |K| + 1$ and $\chi(G) = |K| + 1$ if and only if there exists $v \in I$ such that $N_G(v) = K$; in this case, $\omega(G) = |K| + 1$ as well. The statements about \overline{G} follow in a similar manner.

(b) Assume each vertex of K is adjacent in G to at least one vertex of I . Then, in \overline{G} , each vertex of \overline{K} is nonadjacent to at least one vertex of \overline{I} . Hence $\omega(\overline{G}) = |I|$. The other equalities follow from (a).

(c) We have $G/[I]$ complete if and only if $N_{G/[I]}([I]) = K$. This happens if and only if each vertex of K is adjacent to at least one vertex of I . \square

LEMMA 4.5. Let

$$G = H_0 \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_{r-1} \rightarrow H_r = K_t$$

be a sequence where each term is obtained from the previous one by associating two nonadjacent vertices. If $\chi(H_{r-1}) = \chi(H_r)$, then $\omega(H_{r-1}) = \omega(H_r)$.

Proof. Since H_r is complete, H_{r-1} is an independent set of size 2 strongly attached to a clique; hence, by Lemma 4.4(a), $\omega(H_{r-1}) = \chi(H_{r-1}) = \chi(H_r) = \omega(H_r)$. \square

THEOREM 4.6. Let I_1, \dots, I_m be disjoint independent sets in a graph G . Then

$$(2) \quad \chi(G) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega(G) + |G| - \sum_{j=1}^m |I_j| + 2m - 1 \right).$$

Proof. Associate I_1 through I_m in turn to yield a sequence

$$(3) \quad G = H_0 \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_{m-1} \rightarrow H_m = B,$$

and let $A = H_{m-1}$, so that B is obtained from A by associating I_m to a single vertex. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. B is complete, so that $B = K_{\chi(B)}$. Then, by Lemma 4.4(c), A consists of I_m strongly attached to a clique. By Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 4.4(b),

$$\chi(A) \leq |A| - \chi(\bar{A}) + 1 = |A| - |I_m| + 1,$$

so that, since $\chi(A) = \omega(A)$ by Lemma 4.4(a),

$$(4) \quad 2\chi(A) \leq \omega(A) + |A| - |I_m| + 1.$$

Since $\omega(G \setminus \{v\}) \geq \omega(G) - 1$ for all $v \in V(G)$, Lemma 4.2 tells us that associating an independent set to a single point increases ω by at most one. Hence

$$(5) \quad \omega(A) \leq \omega(G) + m - 1.$$

Also, $|G| - |A| = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (|I_j| - 1) = \sum_{j=1}^m |I_j| - |I_m| - m + 1$, so that

$$(6) \quad |A| - |I_m| = |G| - \sum_{j=1}^m |I_j| + m - 1.$$

Since $\chi(G) \leq \chi(A)$ by the first inequality of Lemma 1.4(i), substituting (5) and (6) into (4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 2\chi(G) &\leq 2\chi(A) \leq \omega(G) + m - 1 + |G| - \sum_{j=1}^m |I_j| + m - 1 + 1 \\ &= \omega(G) + |G| - \sum_{j=1}^m |I_j| + 2m - 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is (2).

Case 2. B is not complete. Consider the sequence

$$(7) \quad B \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C \rightarrow K_{\chi(B)},$$

where each term is obtained from the previous one by associating two nonadjacent vertices. Then, by the first inequality in Lemma 1.4(i),

$$\chi(B) \leq \chi(C) \leq \chi(K_{\chi(B)}) = \chi(B).$$

Hence $\chi(C) = \chi(B) = \chi(K_{\chi(B)})$, and we may apply Lemma 4.5 to conclude

$$(8) \quad \omega(C) = \omega(K_{\chi(B)}) = \chi(B).$$

In addition, it is clear that

$$(9) \quad |C| = \chi(B) + 1.$$

Applying Lemma 4.2 as in (5), but this time to a combination of sequences (3) and (7) between G and C , gives

$$(10) \quad \omega(C) \leq \omega(G) + m + |B| - |C|,$$

and $|G| - |B| = \sum_{j=1}^m |I_j| - m$, so that, by (8), (9), and (10),

$$\begin{aligned} 2\chi(B) &= \omega(C) + |C| - 1 \leq \omega(G) + m + |B| - 1 \\ &= \omega(G) + m + |G| - \sum_{j=1}^m |I_j| + m - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\chi(G) \leq \chi(B)$, by the first inequality of Lemma 1.4(i), the theorem then follows. \square

Since the vertex-set of an induced subgraph H of G can be partitioned into $\chi(H)$ independent sets, the following is an equivalent formulation of Theorem 4.6.

THEOREM 4.7. *Let G be a graph. Then, for any induced subgraph H of G ,*

$$\chi(G) \leq \chi(H) + \frac{1}{2}(\omega(G) + |G| - |H| - 1).$$

COROLLARY 4.8. *Let G be a graph. Then*

$$\chi(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\omega(G) + \tau(G)}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 4.6 to a single independent set with $\omega(\overline{G})$ elements to get

$$(11) \quad \chi(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\omega(G) + |G| - \omega(\overline{G}) + 1).$$

Since $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a vertex cover if and only if $V(G) \setminus S$ is an independent set,

$$\tau(G) + \omega(\overline{G}) = |G|.$$

The result follows. \square

Note that this is a generalization of the Nordhaus–Gaddum upper bound since replacing G by \overline{G} in (11) and adding the two inequalities yields $\chi(G) + \chi(\overline{G}) \leq |G| + 1$.

CONJECTURE 4.9 (see Reed [4]). *Let G be a graph. Then*

$$\chi(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\omega(G) + \Delta(G) + 1}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Corollary 4.8 establishes this for all graphs G with $\tau(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ and, equivalently, for all graphs with $\delta(\overline{G}) \leq \omega(\overline{G})$. In particular, if $\delta(\overline{G}) \leq 2$, then either

$\delta(\overline{G}) \leq 2 \leq \omega(\overline{G})$ or $\omega(\overline{G}) = 1$, and hence G is complete. Thus Reed's conjecture holds for any graph G with $\Delta(G) \geq |G| - 3$.

COROLLARY 4.10. *Let G be a triangle-free graph. Then*

$$\chi(G) \leq 2 + \frac{1}{2}\delta(\overline{G}).$$

Proof. Since G is triangle-free, $\omega(\overline{G}) \geq \Delta(G)$. It follows from (11) that

$$\chi(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\omega(G) + |G| - \Delta(G) + 1) = \frac{1}{2}(\omega(G) + \delta(\overline{G}) + 2) \leq \frac{1}{2}(4 + \delta(\overline{G})),$$

which is the required result. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. GUPTA, *Bounds on the chromatic and achromatic numbers of complementary graphs*, in *Recent Progress in Combinatorics, Proceedings of the 3rd Waterloo Conference in Combinatorics*, Waterloo, 1968, W. T. Tutte, ed., Academic Press, New York, London, 1969, pp. 229–235.
- [2] F. HARARY AND S. HEDETNIEMI, *The achromatic number of a graph*, *J. Combin. Theory*, 8 (1970), pp. 154–161.
- [3] E. A. NORDHAUS AND J. W. GADDUM, *On complementary graphs*, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 63 (1956), pp. 175–177.
- [4] B. REED, ω , Δ , and χ , *J. Graph Theory*, 27 (1997), pp. 177–212.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.